453 .P271

SLAVERY.

ITS

ORIGIN, INFLUENCE, AND DESTINY.

ΕY

THEOPHILUS PARSONS.

SECOND EDITION

BOSTON:

WILLIAM CARTER AND BROTHER water street, and it bromtield street.

1863.





Class E 4 5 3

Book T 2 7 1



11-

ORIGIN, INFLUENCE, AND DESTINY.

THEOPHILUS PARSONS.

BOSTON:

WILLIAM CARTER AND BROTHER,

WOLLS OF WALLS OF

Entered according to Act of Congress, in the year 1853,

By THEOPHLUS PARSONS,

In the Clerk's Office of the District Court of the District of Massachusetts.

 $\label{eq:bosh} BOSTON:$ chas. H. crosby, printer, 5 & 7 water street.

There are none who deny that slavery, in some way, and in some sense, is the principal cause of our civil war. For they who—abroad or at home—allege that it is caused by the actual and profound diversity between the two sections of the country as to their interests, their habits, and their character, do not deny that this diversity springs mainly from the existence of slavery it, one ofly of the parties. And they who account for it by the actory and persistent vehemence of aboliticism, will not deny that if there were no slavery to be abolished there could be no abolitionism. It is not because worth while to use many words in proving a fact, which the map of our country demonstrates.

But if it be certain that shavey it sent way is the central cause of the civil war, it is by no means ectain how, or why, this cause has produced this effect. It I offer for consideration the views I hold on this subject, it is because in this country public opicion is a secondar power, and the hamblest effort to introduce into this opinion what seems to the offerer an element of truth.

may at least be pardeced.

What there is Slavery? Is foundation is the power of controlling any man without his consent and concurrence. The absolute ownership by one man of another man as it exists at the South, is only the perfection and consummation of this principle. There are cases where immaturity demands guidance, or crime deserves punishment. Putting these cases aside, wherever this principle exists and operates, and in whatever degree it exists, there is that which may be called the essence of Siavery. We are accustomed to confine the name to absolute ownership. Nor do I insist that the use of the

word slavery should be extended, if only I am understood as believing that this relation of man to man is but the completion of a relation which exists in a greater or a less degree when any man possesses the right to coerce another into labor for his benefit, without the consent of that other.

It might seem that this is, in some sort, the condition of all men; for even in this busy land, few work excepting because they must. But, if we take an extreme case, it is one thing to be able to say to a man, Work for me on the terms which I offer, or starve, leaving it to him to starve if he chooses, and a very different thing, to have the right to say to him, Work for me on my terms or no terms, because I command you. These two things differ in essence: they are as different, as non-slavery and slavery. The phrase in our Constitution, "held to labor," marks the distinction between one who is held to labor, and one who is persuaded or induced to labor. This phrase is, as it was intended to be, an exact definition of a slave.

If it happens that these words present this idea to any reader for the first time, it may seem to him visionary, unreal, and unpractical. And certainly such an idea as that a legal right of thus compelling service is itself a wrong, scarcely existed upon earth until a few generations ago. If it existed in some minds, and was uttered by some voices, it had nowhere prevalence or recognition. And to-day it can hardly be said to have definite expression and acknowledged truth in the old world. All class-right is, to some extent, opposed to it; and indeed is founded upon its opposite. And yet, history, if we permit it to throw the light of the past upon the present, may teach us that mankind in all its progress, has been constantly advancing towards this end, towards the liberation of the human mind from the thought, and of the human heart from the desire, of standing over a brother-man as his master and his owner. And a reason why that goodness which has ever led and watched the advancing footsteps of our race has guided them in this direction, is, that in proportion as the thought and desire of ruling over our brother pass away, they are replaced by the thought and the desire of

standing by his side and working with him for a common good.

Let us east a glance—a very brief and rapid glance—at the past. Beginning where history begins, we see unqualified and unquestioned despotism; now good and now evil, utterly diverse in character and influence, but always unquestioned, and unimpeded. This was and is the Oriental idea of government; Gibbon remarks that Eastern languages have no words to express any ether mode of government. At length Greece arose, and under the leading of Alexan ler, conquered. It was the conquest of Europe over Asia; of a European way of thinking over Oriental thought; it was a step away from the Oriental idea that despotism was the only cognizable form of government.

In Greece and Rome, whatever were the abuses of certain ages, there was always the protonee, and often the reality of governing by law. And then the findal system advanced so far as to give every real 11s place. For it gave to every mer, his rights, such as they were and to no man the right of absorbleg all of or rights into his own. The feudal system had sorts, but a tisley set

The feudal system grew, theirished, it haved, and is passing away. A step turber toroughly was possible; but not possible in Theoper Ages which had greatly varied the institutions of posteriors. In the arrived them and the system of the plan and teel a chapted to them; and clothed them all with steel, merodops on ble them the mail her warriors or or wore. Note 1. The percould the next step be taken and Appel or as discovered. And in or near the same age came to good discovery of gunpowder, which has mole it injussible that the scenes Froissart so loves to paint, where a tow mailed knights routed and slanghtered at their pleasure moles of peasantry, should ever be repeated. And the compass which led Columbus to America was a set the means of a commerce which has alread. I are its work of binding the nations into unity. And the possible given, to give wings to thought. And all these discoveries were gifts of the same goodness and were given for the same end, as that for which America was discovered and

peopled. This end was—to express it in the fewest words—that *consent* might take the place of *compulsion*, in all the ranks and regions and work of human society.

To this end this nation was planted in the home made ready for it; fostered until it was ready to live in independence, and then gifted with independence. It was ready for nationality, and became a nation. And then came the great American Invention,—greater in worth, in wisdom, and in its beneficent influence over the whole future, than all those I have above enumerated; the invention of a Constitution.

The word is not a new one. It was applied to political institutions before we used it, and is now so applied elsewhere. But, in its American sense, and in its purpose and its work, a Constitution had no existence, until it was called into being for our needs, and our good: called into being by the progress of humanity, and for that progress.

It would of course be difficult, or rather, impossible, to give here a full exposition of the grounds on which an opinion rests, that may seem to many, extravagant. This will not be attempted. But some illustration of it may be derived from a comparison between the national feeling in this country, and that in Europe, on one point; it is, the lovalty of the nation.

There are those who think this word rightly used in Europe, with an exact and defiuite sense; but that here it can only be used in a kind of figurative or rhetorical sense. I think otherwise. Loyalty is everywhere a supreme political virtue; if it can have no existence here, we are most unfortunate. If there be only one form of government in which it can exist, the sooner that form of government becomes ours, the better for us and for our children.

The word loyal is the English form of the latin legalis. The feudal vassal, of every rank, was sworn to be fidelis et legalis, or faithful and loyal, to his superior. Legalis is the adjective form of the substantive, lex, law. The oath then was that he would in good faith acknowledge and defend all the rights which the law gave to his superior, and obey all the commands which the law authorized.

This is the original idea, or the abstract idea, of lovalty. It perhaps never entered into the minds of the masses. and at all events it soon took the form of personal loyalty. Nor is it difficult to see how this occurred and why it was well that it should occur.

The worst thing which can befall a man is to be delivered up to the unchecked dominion of his own selfhood, before that self-hood is raised and regenerated into the perception and the love of Brottr. . Lord of himself, a heritage of woe," he cannot then but abuse the mastery he possesses, to his own destruction. But when he is prepared voluntarily to submit himself to the law of right, and lets this law ripen into a love for his neighbor and his neighbor's rights, then a relief from external compulsion is the best thing which can happen. Therefore, that Divine Providence, which by the accessity of an infinite good ess sicks always the lighest good, is ever watchful to advance as far as may be the preparation of mac for this gift, and the give it as he is prepared, and to withhold it in the degree in which it is not prepared. Baseca Good and man, as between in a and man, consint is better they computeron, and all

But the child is necessarily subject to coer dec. Locause pecessarily immature, and three dy torself-ear trol. A thur the earliest nations which history transast minute, in the difficult of man, this is an oblive a solutions by ad so conglete that universal despition was some executive mode. As the necessity from less despersion as a less of the but on the old world, we have to evade to set, in the propositions prepared for a safe deliver a earn in a controlly a power. The time may come, possibly it may be a a locally it is not come yet. The common places wiking the the games of God," is not without its meaning to a reservely. Thus of the grace, or mercy of God, that his acception to those who need kings.

We see the mastery of the father one the child, ande tender and useful by the panertal boxe of bit, the Latter of us all awakens in all at the birth of the child. And so where it is necessary for a people to have a king, or personal sovereign, governing in his own right, it is as neces-

sary and useful that there exist among the people a strong sense of personal loyalty. And it exists in Europe. Weakened certainly, passing away possibly, but it has

not yet passed away.

And to what can we be loyal? Let me ask another question, to what are they in England—to take England for our illustration-to what are they loyal? To their Queen. No one who has been there, or has listened to the description of what they saw and heard who have been there, can doubt that there is—not everywhere—but in vast masses of the English people, an intense feeling of loyalty to their Queen. A loyalty which would stir their hearts to their depths and arm their hands with every weapon they could grasp in her defence. And what is their Queen? A symbol and a personification of all lawful authority. In the theory of their law, she is its source; the judges of the law are her representatives, the ministers of the law her servants. She is their personal sovereign; and she impersonates the sovereignty of the state; the preservation of all order; and the protection of all property, all industry, all prosperity.

I do not suppose that in all men's minds there is a definite intellectual apprehension of this fact, or that such ideas are recognized by them as the foundation of their loyalty. But in many minds these ideas exist, and in more hearts this feeling would have power. Let there be a threat to-morrow of an uprising which should shatter the throne, and multitudes of the English—great multitudes—I know not how many, I do not even assert, although I believe a great majority of the English, would feel that if the throne went down, revolution, convulsion, conflict and distress would fill the land. For they would feel that if the throne went down, there would go down with it, for them, the foundation of all law, and all se-

curity for order or for property.

But what have we to be loyal to? No personal sovereign, reigning in his own right. What then have we?

When our fathers bent to the work of giving form and order to our nationality, they did not begin with the appointment of a personal sovereign; but with something very different. They selected those whom they thought

their best and wisest, and commissioned them to confer together and discover the fundamental rights for which all law exists, and which underlie and sustain and promote all social good; and the principles from which these rights forever flow. And then to devise the best forms and rules for a government which should forever acknowledge and secure these rights by a constant observance of these principles. And the advice in which all this is contained and expressed and defined, they called a Constitution. There it stood, the child of their own will, Embodying the best wisdom they had; and resting on the consent of all. There it stood, and thank God there it stands. And this substitution of a written Constitution. so created, and so tomided, is, in my most protound belief, the greatest political step ever vet taker, in human progress, and a step which He who haves us intuitely will

We have in the below 2 action (2c, or which the characteristic, relation). As we stirt in required a government. We assume that it is below 2 in the below discorned as the same that it is the same. The block we know not be well as a transport of the same talls of a March very many more above to the same as the rolls of a But the Leading as we will never the same heart or and more than the same as a correction, will be doubt applied as so we are the form as he carried and the transport of the same as the same and produce the transport of the same was a substitute to the same and appear to the transport of the same and appear to the

Let me go back again to I. 2% of per a monoch. Let us compare English loyalty with a monoch, as to its process and its reasonableness. They are doyal to their sovereign. Victoria, as queen, as mother, and as matron, commands the respect of all in Arabica. When he say was here, nothing struck no more, and I may say a thing touched me more, that the vary monoch it is to be 2 was expressed. It seemed as it we take to the excellence of lenglish matroal codes at, in her passes, on the three or beginned. Not a word would be system to delig a payed which would suggest a word in deligation of this necknowledgment. But she must die. Her son, whatever

10 slavery.

may be hoped of him, has as yet only given a promise of excellence. He too must die. And the lives of his successors must be subject to vicissitudes, of which history, and none more plainly than the History of England, tells the sad tale. When Victoria's uncle, George IV., sat on the throne, the loyalty of England was shocked, and almost killed, by his wickedness, and selfishness, and the unconcealed foulness of his life and character. When such another sits there, that loyalty may have a deeper, even a fatal wound. To such chances and such perils the personal loyalty of England must submit.

And through all these ages—if we do not prove unworthy of so great a blessing—will stand our Constitution. Not, as some in Europe who speak of it suppose, because it is fixed and crystallized into forms which may be broken but cannot change. The exact opposite of this is the truth. It is a living organism. It invites and provides for change. It desires all changes, in all time, which shall make it ever more able to perform its great functions. But it carefully provides that these changes shall come only as a common demand, shall be matured by a common deliberation, and rest on a common consent; common, not universal, for that it is too wise to demand.

That it must be far easier to be loyal when the object of our loyalty is a person, is certain. It must be a great relief to the human mind, in a certain condition, to have those principles of order, law, and right, to which loyalty is due, impersonated in one who can be recognized and approached. But the providence of God, as it is manifested in the progress of humanity, seeks to lift the human mind above the condition in which it requires this relief, this assistance. And the great question for us this day, is, whether the American mind and character are lifted to the height of our own institutions. If not, we need, and if we need we shall have, a king.

The very foundation of our existence as a nation is mutual desire, common consent. It has been too little noticed, that this nation stands alone on earth in one characteristic. What other great nation exists, or ever has existed, from the days of Nimrod the hunter of men, to this day, which did not acquire its growth and more or

less of its dominion, by conquest, by compulsion? Various have been the forms and modes of this compulsion; but, in some form, it has existed everywhere. Our nation alone was formed without one atom of this element. And if Texas and California seem to have been added by conquest, it was perhaps the introduction of a new element; and it was, at all events, the conquest of the land only, and not of the people; and when the sparse population we found there grew into a sufficient magnitude, it was at their own request that they were admitted to an equal share of all our rights, all our advantages, all our sovereignty. The idea of conquest and subjugation seems to me utterly foreign to the nature and working and like of our political institutions.

But it may be asked how can we compel the rebels to return within the Union, without cooperst and subjugation. What right have we to the from at all, in the very essential characteristic et earliestimites, is consent.

instead of compiler.

Before a government can be envioued at spet, the principle of consect, it must be about and practically understood, that the is perfectly consistent with a cont, and the obligations springing from contract.

As I have already such I believe at immacise step was taken in the process of our race, by the establishment of our table by:

If so the only is to add agent the procedure to the control of the control

and become much first ger

I now say, that conserve the solution of a continer is conserved to proceed, in other words, so each, goes agreed upon point or, again, conserve comes who offers and actual existence, when there are agreed concerts, made by and between consenting partness; and do with it has exsert and concurrence. And then a reflect day, and of apen consent, must have as its very estimate the right and the power of extencing agree notes, or contracts, made by the consent of the parties.

For example. No meet it. Massach setts is obliged to buy or to sell anything excepting at 1 is own pleasure and by his own tree choice. But it her is so to buy or to sell, and makes an agreement to that effect, then he is

held absolutely, and if need be coercively, to his obligation; that is, to deliver what he sells when he is paid, or

to pay for what he buys.

It must be perfectly obvious, that national institutions cannot be founded upon and characterized by the principle of consent, unless it is a part of that principle, embodied in the consent of the whole nation, that when consent ripens into *contract*, there shall exist the right, the power and the duty of enforcing the contract-obligation.

We apply and test this principle continually, in the smaller matters of every-day occurrence. We are now

testing the same principle on the largest scale.

All the States, and all the persons in every State, have agreed to our national existence and our national institutions. No matter whether they have formally expressed their consent, by oath, or voting, or otherwise. They have lived under them; profited by them; received their share of the good derived from them. And common sense as well as common law holds them to be estopped from denying their consent; their contract.

Rebellion is the last and most consummate violation of contract-obligation. It is the violation by force of the contract which is the foundation on which our nationality rests, and therefore upon which all order, all society, all contract-obligation rests. And therefore it is a violation of contract against which the whole force of the nation should be thrown, with a concentration of all its might, and with unfaltering energy, and unrelenting determina-

But conquest and subjugation do not enter into my idea of either our right or our duty; for this plain reason. We fight only against rebellion; against the rebels only because they are and as they are rebels. And as soon as the rebellion is suppressed, as soon as they cease to be rebels, they return again within the Constitution; within its obligations, within its penalties for whatever crimes they have committed, but also within its protection.

To regard them not as rebels, but as enemies in the same sense in which strangers at war with us would be our enemies, is to declare that rebellion has succeeded;

has done its work; has separated them from us.

If consent was the foundation of our nationality, so it was of the Constitution which gives to it form and definition. The very heart and essence of this constitution, as of every State Constitution, is, that it is the voluntary work of all, the expression of the common will, resting upon common consent; and so terminating in a common contract, and a common obligation.

The heart and essence of all constitutional republication is Constant. The heart and essence of all slavery is Commission. History does not exhibit, and the mind of man cannot be considered as more closed to political antagonism, than that he to be consistent on the publicate government, and slavery. Hence this work then this wants in this close than this leading close, taking upon the working its voice, easily out its dispulse, taking upon the working of the rand socking species by Foreign Theory in the order and socking species by Foreign Theory in the action of the property of the ware contains a state of the consistent of the property of the ware contains a state of the consistent of the Property of the contains a state of the property of the contains and the contains a state of the property of the contains a state of the property of the contains a state of the property of the contains a state of the contains and the contains a state of the contains and the contains a state of the contains and the contains a state of t

As the extraction of the extra

At the state of th

so lately born among men, that it was not well for any man to have the right of compelling another to act without his own concurrence, was dimly seen and feebly felt. And therefore the kind and measure of pro-slavery which claims and loves this right, would have been found potent everywhere, and all its sympathies would have been, as they are now and ever must be, with that consummated slavery which deems it well for a man to own a man. The conflict would not then have been safe. Our fathers did well and wisely in not exciting it. They left it for a future day. It has come in our day. The way in which it has come is this.

As the years passed on, slavery, from causes all of which are not obvious, gradually withdrew from a large part of the country, and gradually became concentrated in another part; and thus slavery and non-slavery became to a great degree separated and distinguished from each other.

In that part of the country where slavery was concentrated, it flourished. It produced an apparent prosperity, in which the slaves had little share, and the mass of poor whites round them even less, while it made the few slave-owners rich in idleness. But while it impoverished and degraded the poor whites, it fed and gratified their pride that even in their degradation they could look down with utter contempt upon a numerous class below them. And this false and foolish pride kept up in their minds a comparison of their condition as freemen with that of the slaves, and they did not know their degradation; and they learned to love slavery, as well as the rich men who were masters of the slaves without disguise, and masters of the poor whites under a thin disguise.

The consequence of this was inevitable. That region became a slave region completely and thoroughly. Not only was nearly all its wealth slave-wealth, but in about the same proportion its opinion became a slave-opinion; its belief a slave-belief; its reason a slave-reason; its conscience a slave-conscience; its religion a slave-religion. Not universally, but prevailingly. And its policy,—for in this the majority ruled,—became an absolute, unqualified, slave-policy.

And in the meantime how fared it with the region from which slavery had withdrawn? That region also flourished; and while its prosperity outran anything in human experience and astonished the world, it was as remarkable for its diffusion as for its amount. It was the result of the co-operation of all, concurring in labor of all kinds, but all resulting in a common good, of which all had their share, and nearly all a share proportionate to their industry and intelligence.

With this there grew up, and into great strongth, a feeling and belief that this marvelle is prosperity was due to our nationality, which alone could give it safety and permanence, and to the principles of human rights which our Constitution expressed and protected. The great marts of commerce telt that they must decay with our national decay. The owners of and the work is in the mills to which our rushing streems and han sach kind as well as if the small gla wrote it on their walls, that only in the preservation of our control its could they prosper. The mercykerp' and the Unit of the Longolt those wide Wester, fields as seem all tooltan end, fold that they could work is poor, and first some first produet of their labors, only once after that correction dity

was preserved.

In all this there was allow one of an additions. But through it all, there also go which steed the wall puto habitual and common the gift, the last of the every mach owned himself, and had a right too a line himself only with his own consent, however had no trade the terms to which he chase to consecut has I that a constitute and republicanism was tounded on this prince? I

It is this thought which updatias all the true democs racy of this country. It may have in the minds of the masses but little procisary of 1 21 d defects at it may he quite too much sills I with so I degraded by salfishmess; and it one a expense a fisht with the it courses ness of word and act. But they it is, any thin itself, and a sentiment of 20 st peace. By one has this power, sines to contoured itself with the true democracy, that it may use it as a to 1; and it as place the use of it by take

pretences. This false democracy asserts vociferously a sympathy with the true democracy, when in fact it is in exact opposition to it; because its whole aim is to use men without their actual consent; and as this can no longer be done by violence, it is done by fraud and falsehood.

I have attempted a very general sketch of the condition and sentiment of the two great regions of this country, the slave region, and the non-slave region. And when the greater growth of the non-slave element warned the slave element that it was on the way to death, slowly and lingeringly perhaps, but inevitably, the slave element rushed into a conflict which it hoped would end in a victory that would give it permanent power and therefore permanent existence. And it may do this, unless the conflict ends, not in the victory, but in the defeat of slavery. I do not say its destruction, but its defeat. if it so ends, whatever form this defeat puts on, the death of slavery is made more certain and brought more near. Which of these results is impending; the victory or the defeat of Slavery; the success or the suppression of Rebellion?

This must depend on the relative strength of the parties; not merely the strength which each party possesses, but the strength which each party brings into the conflict. And one important measure of this strength, is the unity of each party.

The slave party was far from being unanimous at the outset. The cautious and skilful measures adopted by the leaders of the rebellion to bring their States into the attitude of rebellion without a popular vote on the question, is, of itself, a sufficient proof of this. Their earnest and successful endeavors "to fire the Southern heart," showed that they thought it needed to be fired; and none could judge of this so well as they could. Undoubtedly there was much lingering attachment to the Union; much fear for the possible consequences of war and for its inevitable suffering and sacrifice; and some doubt whether slavery was a good thing to fight for. But the Southern heart has been fired. The voice of opposition has been silenced, and wherever necessary strangled with a rope. And while the terrible distress, and enormous sacrifice,

SLAVERY, 17

and extreme exhaustion which have attended the rebellion must have produced much effect, it may still be said, that so far as we can judge from trust-worthy testimony, there is now a very great degree of unity at the South.

The gans of Sumter fired the Northern heart at once, There was a wonderful uprising of the whole people, Even the false democracy saw instantly (and they are not usually mistaken on such points) that they should lose all hold of the true democracy, if they did not join, with seeming heartiness at least, in the defence of our mationality.

This uprising, in its unanimity, its carnestness, and the proofs it gave of its reality, surprised ourselves, astonished Europe, and most of all amazed and disappointed the rebels. Because the slave influence had made the mind of that region a slave-mind, they could not, they cannot now, and they never will comprehend it. But the fact was patent, and to them fearful. But time went on, and old differences regived, as I to who essent actual. Different interests and differences regions began to look at a 5 hother with watent does, perhaps with leabersy and district. All opinion finds expression; to here there each be teen ignored true. More pression; to here there each be teen ignored to my imwise, nawise notions, unsolved arguments, and mistaken conclusions flew through the community.

Looking at the marter from some points of view, it might seem as it the war had strong the cut the might of the rebellion, and weakened that of the resistance to it. But I

am not sure that it is so.

There are many sources of error on this point. Thus, it is extremely difficult to know what putter of the seeming disaffection is nothing in methan and rodus overy of the disaffection existing at the begins ing, but the measurement of at least not expressed. The magain we may be deceived by the local and universal to list ideag, which has reached an excess that would be linderons, it it were not dangerous. But it may not be so dargerous as it seems. Of course no one can hope for a general refunciation of the cheap and easy pleasure of fault-finding. He who finds fault with another, generally asserts by implication his own belief (an unconscious one perhaps) of his superiority, of

his freedom from that which he rebukes. He judges, he condemns, he looks from above, down. And where is the human being to whom this is not grateful? No. We may hope for money, for effort, toil, and courage to face any peril. But we must not hope for so enormous a sacrifice as the voluntary relinquishment of fault-finding. Of course it does harm; but it may also do some good; possibly in the rebuke of some actual wrong, or the correction of some actual mistake, or in the fact that it keeps us awake and alive to existing exigencies.

But whatever uncertain good this reckless fault-finding may do, it works one great and certain mischief in the

despondency which it produces and diffuses.

Despondency is always the effect of weakness, and always increases weakness. Therefore it is never wise. And in times like these it is most mischievous, most dangerous. A very profound thinker has said, "There is nothing I fear so much as Fear." This saying, wise for most times, is, for us in these times, brimful of wisdom. The army of the people should be what military men call "the supporting force" of the army we have sent to the front. And a panic in the one army may be as fatal as a panic in the other.

We may be prudent and cautious; neither unduly elated nor depressed; moderate in our expectations; and

yet rational, firm and hopeful.

He who has given all the money he can spare, and sent his sons to battle, while his wife and daughters toil for the comfort and health of the soldiers, has yet one more duty to perform, which, to some tempers, is the most difficult of all. It is, to repel Despondency from his own mind, and protect all whom he can from this moral palsy. Not more certain is it that red-handed Treason has brought us to this pass, than that, among the loyal, Despondency is the servant of Treason, doing its work where no thought of treason could gain admittance. Much of this work has been done; but I am sure, for all the moaning and groaning which echoes around us, that the heart of New England still beats with strong and steady pulse.

And then it must be remembered, that the differences exhibited among us, are to an immense extent, differences

as to the means and not differences as to the end. Behind nearly all of them, and urging them on, is the determination that the country must be saved. It is easy to mistake in this matter. Thus, recent elections have given the opposition a majority in some large States. But the most potent very "employed by the victors was against the government for its lack of energy in the prosecution of the war. And yet a political victory, gained by the expression of a vehement desire that the war should be urged with the numest energy, and by a passicence appeal to this ruling desire of the people, is regarded by some, and made use of by some, at home and abroad, as evidence that this very desire is folde and deing out! Some even of the leaders who won this victory in this way would have it mean the rring sisters go in power. But our energy sisters understand these matters better than a near the ray are not deceived. If we are,

The mean term of legal is the most of inted particles in are so term to be a triver part by every metric of interest, or solvabless, and to be every energy to the constant doubt the more in a test solves. In the lay I States there is no more than one particle six, and the solvest particles making notices are upon the layers. And the solvest particle of decrees a well as of kind. Note they is there legally of the latest are upon the approximation of solvest are upon the approximation of solvest are upon the approximation of solvest are upon the solvest and the most decree of a contract to a solvest and the solvest are upon the solvest and the solvest are the solvest and the solve

But, what if I may bely success? A collaboration is sharely stand I book tory. On the access to dead to be conflict is sharely; and with it disraptions in the I flot, and rebellion against the Constitution. But have there are three things also, is Rebellion. On the other sine are three things also.

One of these is the opposition to slavery; another, the determination to save our nationality; the third, loyalty to the Constitution. And these three things are also one, and that one is the suppression of Rebellion. To many minds these three things seem to be distinct, and they have indeed assumed, to some extent, an attitude of antagonism to each But, to my mind, they are as closely connected, as indissolubly one in their nature and their influence, as are the three elements of the rebellion. And, therefore, as rebellion is the one thing in which its three elements are waging war against us, so a suppression of the rebellion is the one thing in which the elements of our resistance should combine. That should be the constant end; and all other things regarded only as the means to this end. Let me try to show how the three elements of our resistance to rebellion are one.

The preservation of our nationality will be necessarily, at some time and in some way, the death of slavery. For the heart and essence of our national existence is the principle of freedom. This principle has grown in development and strength beyond the principle of slavery, not by any accident, but because it could not be otherwise in a nation founded as ours was, and characterized and circumstanced as ours has been, and is, and must continue to be as long as we are one nation. The South felt this. Southern mind has become essentially a slave-mind. Many persons there are probably unable to form a conception of nationality or civilization without slavery; and some have avowed this. Their hatred of the "accursed Yankees" is only an expression of the love of slavery; Yankeeism being with them an impersonation of non-slavery. They saw plainly, or they felt instinctively, that slavery would perish if our nationality should continue. death of slavery seems to them their own death. are fighting for life. They are fighting to destroy our nationality, because if our nationality lives, slavery must die. In all this they are not mistaken. The only strange thing is, that we do not see this as plainly as they do.

Then, as to our Constitution. If we continue to be a nation, we must have, as I think, inevitably, a constitutional republican government; and between such a con-

stinutional government and slavery, there must be, forever and ine itably, antagonism. And this is what I mean, when I say, that the three elements of our resistance to the cebellion, opposition to slavery, determination to preserve our nationality, and loyalty to the Constitution, are in their nature and essence, One.

Shall we preserve our nationality? I can only say, there seem to me reasily, by the should, and inflations leading to that result, or such irresistible to light and tores that I do not believe they can all. Against them all comes the disruping toreset showing. And will I well that a are jealensies, intrigues, outeries, threated light to separate the West from the East; they are strongly in forced by something which calls itself, and may believe itself and there of the Constitution; and the whole is used congetically by the domage gaism, which would sombles output ing that can be two a translation of the proof. But I do not greatly tear such things. The most of the latest constant the Massissipping to the latest the latest section. In the Latest Adaptive closed against the weather and the latest the latest section. If they are down to provide the statest and they are the latest and the latest they are the latest and they are statest and they are the Massissipping that they are the latest and they are they are the latest and they are the are they are the are they are they are they are t

But define the content of soft Coordinates of the my independent of Coordinates, in the content of soft But there are those of the first of the content of some some who are very angle of the first of the content of the content of among these whoch may be a transfer of the content of the content of mong these whoch may be a transfer of the content of

of opposition to the government, and of friendship for the rebels can so coalesce and inflame each other, as to make it necessary for the government to sacrifice our nationality or sacrifice our Constitution; but, if this choice must be made, then, with as much love and reverence for the Constitution as my nature is capable of, I should still say, our nationality must not be lost, and rebellion must not prevail.

The Senate has been recently agitated by a case, where a man supposed to be an active sympathizer with the rebels, was arrested and imprisoned. dent and Commander-in-Chief in this war upon the very life and being of the country, had suspended the Habeas Corpus, and imprisoned him. Then the man utterly denied his sympathy, or at all events his active sympathy with the rebels. And thereupon the President (always through his agents) offered to release him at once, if only he would take the oath of allegiance to the United States. And he would not; and remained under arrest. Now I wish to repeat most emphatically, that there was not, in my judgment, any violation of the Constitution here, of any kind or any degree whatever. if there was any violation whatever, I am sure it was not a substantial violation. I am willing to sav farther, that if I must choose between that defence of the Constitution which holds it always on the hand and uses it as a tool, and has it always on the lips and makes it a means for obstructive agitation, and ostentatiously clings to its letter while it is weakening the defence of its very existence; if I must choose between this and that other defence of the Constitution which would preserve its vital principles, and the allegiance due to it, even at the cost of some violation of the letter, I should not choose the former. would not save the body at the expense of the soul.

Some of the "Defenders of the Constitution" of the present day, use with much emphasis the phrase, "The Constitution makes us a nation." It suits my way of thinking better to say, our nationality made the Constitution. "We, the people of the United States." determined to become a nation. By our agents we determined also upon the principles and the forms which should manifest

SLAVERY, 23

our nationality to ourselves and to the world, and govern us in all the working of our national life. These principles and forms are expressed in the Constitution. I am willing to say almost anything of it, excepting that it makes our nationality. The Constitution proves our nationality, defines it, expresses it, guards it, protects it, but does not make it. I can sympathize heartily, with any defence of our Constitution which seems to me honest and rational. It may be honest and rational, although I do not think so. But if it does not seem so to me, I cannot sympathize with it.

I can discern no limits to a nation's right of self-salvation. A man may save his own life by any effort or any means, not prohibited by the laws of God even in that extremity. I am sure that this right, and this duty, belong equally to a nation.

Success then I hope for. Success in retaining our nationality. Success in preserving the life of our Constitution. And I also hope for success against slavery, because this is involved in the preservation of our nationality and our Constitution.

Would that I were able to impress my convictors on this last point, upon the community. A mistake in relation to it seems to me to be doing great mischief.

The divisions of opinion which weaken our efforts may be reduced into two classes. I will design ite them, for my own convenience, as the metis-layery party, and the opposition party; although a the fittle parties of whem I would speak inchois those when these words would not accurately describe. If thisk the mist ke they make is one, although it assumes two very different aspects.

The anti-slavery party beloves it will advance its purposes by a direct attack on slavery; they say, let us kill slavery and rebellion will die. It they believed as I do, that our nationality acre can Co stitution were the very best possible instruments through which slavery might be assailed and extirpated, in the best time and in the best way whatever that may be, they might adopt a different course.

The opposition would treat slavery tenderly, in hopes to allure or entice the slave States back. They do not realize

that our national life has been, from its beginning, working against slavery. That, while it permitted slavery to acquire great extent and power, it built up the prosperity of the free States at a far greater rate, and strengthened the element of non-slavery against slavery, until the supremacy of the latter disappeared; and that the slave States saw this clearly and perfectly; saw and knew beyond all doubt or question, that slavery must die if it did not escape from the Union; saw and knew that the hour had come when only the struggle was possible, because delay would make even the struggle impossible. They therefore sprang into rebellion; and this day, they see and know, every man of them, that a return to the Union involves the decay and certain death of slavery before a very long Between this peril, and the chances of war, they chose, and must choose. They know, if we do not, that the public sentiment of this country will never permit such immunities and securities for slavery as would give it enduring vitality and permanent power, even if such were possible, which I do not believe. The opposition party deceive themselves if they think they can bring back the slave States by any other means whatever than by making the chances of war valueless to them. And yet it is this very opposition, and the division in our counsels and our couduct that it produces, which alone give to the rebels all the hope they have, all the chance they have. For if they have any hope now of foreign intervention, they know, if we do not, that it is this division alone, which will make intervention possible.

I think our government makes a mistake allied to this. The President knows that there is a divided sentiment in the country, and that we can only succeed by bringing the whole strength of the loyal States to bear on the rebellion. And he labors, honestly and earnestly, to reconcile, or at least combine, the two great parties which he recognizes. His mistake is, not to recognize, and not to throw himself upon, a much stronger party.

Each of these parties desires and demands that the rebellion shall be put down, in its own way. The great mass of the people desire and demand only that the REBELLION BE PUT DOWN. A year ago this great party comprehended

almost everybody. Now, the anti-slavery party have persuaded many that the rebellion can be put down only by direct assault upon slavery. The opposition have per-suaded many that it can be put down only by treating slavery tenderly. But I believe the great mass of the people stands where it stood. If Abraham Lincoln, in whose absolute honesty of purpose every one has confidence. and as to whose capacity doubts have arisen only from his seeming vacillation, would adopt and declare his a wo rolling, his own method of putting down the rebellion, on no other ground and with no other thought and no other motive whatever, than that he verily believed it to be the best way to Surrass the Replacion, he would find himself at once at the head of this great party, the people. Then, they would be glied to see him carry out this policy vigorously and unrelentingly, destroy what he might, or save what he might. They would not be led away from him by the outeries of the lead is of any parties, or of all parties. It he removed from office, civil or military, every many of the last a right to dismiss, and who would be that the specific to the conductive in carrying out his policy; and it he would throw the whole force of the government into it, without his brance, stop, or stay, the people would go with him.

Will slavery be analy to things that are destroyed, or the thirty that are a red to It less be a permitted to exist alm stages and the stages we are technical, regressive states, British as a Constructy strove from the legal and the last of the course Father worked through Correct to be had given a secfrom it. How much as a well to the lift that, that in the year 321 the Latiet of Constructive, which established the worship of the Lord's day, by prolifting on that day, and for that purpose, placewing at the courts and all judicial proceed by 2, in the second of the latter Poiss on tayor of the proceedings by which as two cases from Py made tree. So has Christ, buty ever worked gale t Slavery. with great and continued successions very with entire success. But it is certain that it Christianity does not ultimately succeed in conquerity slavery, slavery will succeed in conquering Christianity; for their essential

antagonism is eternal. I am sure that Christianity will ultimately conquer slavery. But by what means, by what steps, or at what rate of progress, Christianity will advance in its conquest of slavery,—that I do not know.

It certainly seems to me probable that slavery must be materially weakened by this conflict and its results. It seems to me possible, and not improbable, that it may receive a wound that is obviously fatal, and be brought near to inevitable death. It seems to me possible, but not probable, that it may utterly perish, and once for all disappear from this whole country to be seen here no more.

I know, certainly, only this. It is now our duty, the most absolute duty of all in the free States, TO FIGHT. To fight against Rebellion. To fight against it by every weapon we can use, whether it be forged of steel, or impelled by fire; or only by words winged with the fire of loyalty to God and to our country; or only by thoughts and feelings which find no utterance. Fight against the serried ranks of Rebellion if our place be there; fight against the errors or malignities which sympathize with Rebellion if our place be at home; fight, even in our own hearts, against prejudices, or passions, or interests, or habits, or hatreds, which, not intentionally or consciously, but in fact, paralyze our efforts, strengthen and envenom our dissensions, and give aid and comfort to Rebellion.

Slavery is compatible with much excellence of heart and character and conduct. I have no doubt whatever, that there are many slaveholders who are kind and just men. That they heartily acknowledge their duty to their slaves, and endeavor conscientiously to discharge their duty. But wherever this goodness exists within slavery it must be exceptional. It must exist, not because of slavery, but in despite of it. And I suppose that such slave-owners are not among those who believe that slavery is essentially a good thing, and who love slavery. Because it seems to me this love can have no other origin than the love of dominion and mastery, grounded in pure selfishness.

SLAVERY, 27

So also, as I admit that compulsion is good while there is an immaturity which demands it, slaveholders will tell me that the negro race is incapable of maturity; and therefore the best thing for it is and will always be the guidance and guardianship and protection of slavery. This I do not believe. I hay aside all inquiry into the origin of the negro, or into the differences which separate him from the white man. I am sure of this; he has, or is capable of having human affections and human thoughts. He is therefore a Mata. And therefore he is or may become something which should not be a slave.

Thave repeatedly spekened slavery as existing technically and avowedly, and as the absolute ownership of a man by a man; and then we call it slavery. And as also existing in its elements and its essence wherever a right exists of coording a man to labor to mother in any way, without his own consect and consections. This is yet could be conspulsion. It is just to be set to be the following the following modified to the set of the following techniques of the consequence of the conseque

It is very in the second of the control by a school, when it is an displaced, and the first that is the second of the while to be a school of the property of a positive which is similar in essential. To the state by a coartie, I will go again to be dome at a decorate, and for his certailly become queet with second factors as after his certailly become queet with second factors and to his certailly become queet with second factors and to has certailly become queet with second factors and the has certailly dorselvery. It has be greatly to be the transfer the ruling classes. It allows the padecises and the tears of the ruling classes. It allows the hatrod of slavery appears to have yielded in the minds of these classes. The reason seems to me plain enough; because the two visitence in England, and while every Englishment of desire, the basis that it a slave stands upon Englishment of estates in the basis that it as a slave stands upon Englishment of slavery exists and operates in England, and has great tayor there. What I mean is, that the South, and the whole Southern model and charac-

28 Slavery.

ter, are not more permeated and dominated by the principle of Slavery, than the English mind is permeated and dominated by the principle of Servility.

The cement which holds the fabric of English society together, is Servility. An Englishman looks upon those higher than himself in class-position, with a humility and subservience, that to a stranger who sees it or reads of it, is either disgusting, or amazing, or amusing. looks down on those below him in class-position, and demands and receives the same humility and subservience. We read of the castes in India, and wonder at them. But in England the noble families are far above the untitled in all social arrangements. The landed gentry will not meet on equal terms with the merchant. And the merchant looks down with the same self-complacency upon the retail trader. A shopkeeper would be a phenomenon in a great house, if he had not been sent for to exhibit his wares. And all look down, alike, upon their servants. It is true the question of wealth runs through all this, because now, in England, mere wealth, however come by, gives a spurious kind of rank, which some acknowledge and some do not.

When chemists speak of a substance differing from another in that one of its many elements is changed for another which occupies precisely its place and enters into all its relations, they say the new element has replaced the former. It is precisely in this sense, that I say the Servility of England replaces the Slavery of our Southern States. For servility enters into the relations of English society, and affects the various classes of the nation, with a close analogy to the place and influence of slavery in the South.

For example, no one would say that the four millions of slaves love slavery. There are slave-owners who say it, but they do not think it, and cannot expect any person to suppose that they believe it. And yet slavery must have affected the minds of these millions. Many of them doubtless value the protection, the food and shelter it gives them; and they dread the consequences of any agitation for freedom.

So, in England, more than as many millions are utterly

slavery. 29

without voice or vote or political rights, and are nothing more than the producers of wealth for the residue, for wages which only sustain life. They cannot love the institutions which bring upon them this constant degradation and frequent suffering. But they are accustomed to their condition. They know not how otherwise to get the means of even living. And they fear change, for they have lost the capacity of hoping for anything better.

We supposed that the negroes would move in some way in furtherance of their deliverance. I did not expect insurrection: I did not desire it, nor do I know any person who did desire it. But I supposed that a movement like that which has actually taken place in some parts of the slave region, would have become, by this time, general. It is, in substance, a refusal of the slaves to work unless for wages and on terms agreed up a. Such a movement would have been a feact all calamity for the Robels. The negroes could not have been a real witness the all of soldiers whose odd to the spared to an their armies. And a compliance with their defined is well have structed the heart of slavery. But the slaves have not moved.

So it is often said that I, gan, I is wen a velocite," and that her laborers and her poor must rise up and seize the first opportunity of breaking their bondage. I do not believe they would. What keeps the slaves quiet, would keep them quiet. Fear and habit have great power.

Again. In the South the surveyovers are not all of one mind. Some only gothern, contents, district the peculiar listing of T. I. I. you never it as tistered upon them, and know radia wit east it off without after rule. But they would be gother to are it muligated, and improved, or rule of a possible. So it Figlind, of the governing classes there are some, we know, ad more, we suppose, who do not believe that civils after domains in it the exuberant wealth of a tew should cost, so with the enormous mass of raisery, destifiction at degradation festering at the large of Figlish somely. Nor do these persons love the Servillity which characterizes their country. They wish, some of them act, for the mitigation and improvement of this state of things. But they look upon this evil as fastened upon them, and so rooted in the

whole fabric of English society, that it could not be taken away without bringing the fabric itself to ruin.

Again. Russell's Diary gives us conclusive evidence, that the leading conspirators of the South desire, earnestly desire, a monarchy. And slavery must desire a monarchy. The very nature of the case makes it certain, that if slavery should ever become the acknowledged "corner-stone," as Mr. Vice-President Stephens calls it, of a State, at its summit there must stand, whatever title he may bear, a despot. But servility, which is only modified slavery, differs from slavery, which is intensified servility, in this. It does not require a despot. Less will satisfy its needs. Hence England requires and has a "constitutional monarch."

What does this phrase practically mean? The king (or queen) of England reigns on condition that he will not govern nor attempt to govern. Queen Victoria has less political power than any one of her most prominent and influential subjects. Indeed she has none. It is the universally recognized proof of her sagacity and her fitness for her place, that she abstains from any interference with the government of the country. While I write, the "London Times," which speaks for and to the aristocracy of England, inculcates, somewhat rudely, the same abstinence upon the Prince of Wales. Where then is the actual power of the State, for it must be somewhere? It is in the hands of an aristocracy, who are the possessors of unquestioned power, and are, of late years, beginning to cast off their disguise. This aristocracy is, partly an aristocracy of rank, and partly an aristocracy of wealth. Keen observers say that the last is gaining on the first, and getting the mastery. It is difficult to say how this is, because they work with so much harmony. The aristocracy of rank seeks to bring wealth within its "order," by marrying the possessors of wealth, or ennobling them. The aristocracy of wealth seeks to add the advantage of rank, by marriage alliances, or by getting titles. But considering them as one, this aristocracy is the absolute master of England; more absolutely its master, than Louis Napoleon is of France, or Alexander is of Russia. The aristocracy appoints and sustains and directs the ministers. The Prime

Minister is their chief servant. The Queen, who calls these ministers her servants, is but the servant of their masters. And this is in perfect harmony with English institutions and English character. Everything in that nation depends upon class distinctions and class rights; and it is necessary that the highest class should be the master of the rest.

A Constitution is a supreme law alike obligatory upon the Executive, the Legislative, and the Judicial departments, and upon the whole people; to be violated by none, and to be changed only by common consent. Of this, or anything like this, they have absolutely nothing in England. Parliament, which is controlled by the aristocracy, may enact what law it will. The veto-power of the king has been abandoned for many reigns and many generations, and is dead. Whatever Parliament enacts, every executive officer, every magistrate, every judge, and every subject, Mest regard as law and obey as law. The Constitutional King of England' is therefore a king who reigns on condition that he will be only a pageant and not a king, and whose kingdom is interly destitute of a Constitution.

The fervent loyalty felt for the occupant of the throne exerts, it has been already said, great influence in preserving the social order of England, as it is. That is, in preserving the sovereights of the aristocracy; and therefore the aristocracy dead it is ear to centirm and inflame this loyalty, by their arelest expression of it, and by surrounding the throne with splendor. Their king must be only a page cut; but they are glad to make him the most magnificent of pagean's. The time may come when this loyalty may perform a higher function. It may be among the possibilities of the uncertain tuture of Hagland that a king who wishes to be more that, a pageant and is willing that his people should have their rights secured to them by a supreme law which shall be a law for them, for him, and for all, may find the middle classes weary or ashamed of their subserviency, and the laborers of England stung by misery into resistance; these three may combine and their union be comented by loyalty to the king. Then, the aristocracy will find their usurped power wrested from

their hands. The people of England will know, and will acquire and secure, the rights which belong to them. And the phrase, "the constitutional king of England" will have, what it has not now,—a meaning.

The social condition of England is consistent with a vast amount of moral worth, with individual and national energy, and with all the splendor and grace which intellectual ability and culture of the highest order can impart. All these are there, abundantly and certainly. I do not doubt in the least that all are there; I am only endeavoring to state and illustrate the principle which runs through them all.

Our fathers were Englishmen. They brought with them English blood and character,—although not then precisely such as these are now. I cannot enlarge upon this difference, nor consider the modifications these elements of character must have undergone while more than six generations have lived and died under circumstances very different from those of the English people. But we remained her colonies, and politically a part of England, until we won our Independence. Since then we have not been politically her colonies. But we have stood in what was very near to a colonial relation and dependence in other respects. mind and her manners and usages and judgments about men and things have influenced ours in a degree and in a way that few of us have been aware of. I certainly was not. Therefore I consider this war a second war of Independence. That chain is broken, at all events; and its links can never be welded together. I hope that the anger which now exists may pass away, and be succeeded by kindness; and I hope we shall learn to make due allowance for the governments of Europe. The growth and prosperity of a nation founded upon Consent must be a constant menace, and an ever-growing peril for institutions founded upon Compulsion. If our institutions attract to us the sympathies of the governed classes, so much the more must they repel the governing classes. We should indeed ask of these governing classes to be more than human, if we ask them not to look upon our institutions with dislike, our prosperity with jealousy and fear, our perils with hope, and our decay—if that shall come—with rejoicing.

Let us be just to the aristocracy of England. Their

hostility to the free States and their sympathy with the slave States, astonished, grieved and angered us. But let us not forget that the suppression of the rebellion and the restoration of our prosperity under a constitutional government, would be, for that aristocracy, a peril, only less, if less, than the rebellion itself is for the United States.

I hope this war will complete our independence of England. For with the most sincere acknowledgment of great and various excellence in the English character, I am quite sure that her influence has been, on some important points, quite injurious to us.

Sorvility includes the two ideas of the sentiment of servility on the part of those who look up, and the love of servility on the part of those who look down. And no doubt we have imported a good deal of servility from Highard. the love of servility in those who look down. I tear we are not quite rid yet. We don't allow fielly accept the principles of America, institutions as these action which we milet live. In their we like the mornion, as long as we live here. I it in that some of as subject carselves to much discomfort, in the vain effort to establish for ourselves and our households, habits and relations which we cha do more import from England than we can import her climate. I have been amused to see some persons trying to live, as to their habits of food and clothing and exercise and exposure, as they do in England, and because they do so in England. This is of no great consequence. More mischief comes from the endeavor to insist upon Leglish relations, where the effort can produce only conround irritation. Class-rights cannot flourish here. If one of not readers happens to know a man who seeks to treat all within his reach as his servants, and all his servants as slaves. I am sure he knows a very uncomfort-

From the second which boks up, we are pretty well rid. We see it solden, except in new-comers, who brought the habit with them, and have not yet learned their American bessels. But they learn these bessons very seen. Perhaps they depend bearn, perhaps they come to a school where it might, at present, he difficult to learn,

what should take the place of servility when that passes away. The best lover of his country will hope that it may pass away. But he will also hope that as it passes away, a recognition of the rights of others, fidelity to duty, the love of usefulness, and courtesy and kindness and civility will take the place of servility.

Some at home, and more who visit us, complain of the manners of this country. So far as I can judge, our manners are, in the main, good. It is not fair nor reasonable to apply to them the standards of foreign usages or of factitious refinement. The true test is, are they, in general, expressive of a courteous and kind feeling. I think they are. We meet sometimes with coarseness and rudeness; but equally in all classes of society; and in every class it seems to me an exception, and not the rule.

But I am not so well contented with another characteristic of our country. It is the feebleness of the sentiments of Respect and Reverence. It is difficult to speak aright of these topics, and perhaps I ought to distrust my own conclusions. I will only say that I should be glad to see my fellow-citizens treat each other with more Respect; and manifest more respect for many things, and among them, for place, office, function. These exist only for the good of society. This is their end, however imperfectly it be attained, and however it may be concealed or obstructed by self-seeking and self-love in all their various forms. But it is certain that this end must be imperfectly attained, if the rights which belong to them are not honestly acknowledged and Respected.

And so as to Reverence. Of this I would say even less. But the common consent of all times has ever declared that age should be held in Reverence; that the paternal relation should be held in Reverence. I will only ask is a sentiment of this kind very strong and general among us; is it stronger in this generation than in the preceding; was it stronger in that than in its predecessor? I will let others answer. I fear some may answer, it is not strong, and that is well. It is growing weaker, and that too is well.

But all the Reverence I have spoken of is nothing, in

comparison with the Reverence which is due to God. I do not fear an avowal that this Reverence also is a poor and foolish thing; but I do fear, that in point of fact, it is, in general, a feeble sentiment.

We live in an age of marvellous presperity; of an activity of the human intellect and an energy of human action, and a perpetual progress in discoveries and in unifizing discoveries, which has had no precedent in history. But it is also a characteristic of the age, that the idea of Cod has quite too little distinctions and if rec in any of the departments of human thought; and, most of all has this idea disappeared from politics. This words cans to mean at the highest, only a regard for the more uniterial interests of men; and, at the lowest, gambling with the minds and possions of men for the cards, and public effector the public parse for the stakes. This can be able to discount to which there is no light to not account to gather that of the hamps no light to not account to gather that of the hamps no light to be a card to be a cardiage for mach west more and account to happened for mach west more and account to hope for mach west more and account to the larger for mach west more and account to the larger for mach west more and account to the larger for mach west more and account to the larger for mach west more and account to the larger for mach west more and account to the larger for mach west more account to the larger for mach we account to the larger for mach account to the larger for the larger for the larger for mach account to the larger for the larg

Were I to permit myself to dwell on the subject, it would be wall reported to the gollessaces of that sport of a form, which is sopewerful among us. How many good and carnest met. I know a ownerive in their conflicts with the democracy I iterapy with a modeline worse democracy Ignoreace, and to be a tree of the reference of to my specific topic, with Savers reals. Do then so ma generally, to with and work in the little to the fight that if their work be a good word, it must be Cooks work; and that if they would work with Huar, they must work as His disconnects, and in His own was? This conviction would leave them zealors to be His instruments; to do The work; to master the time; to open the way. But it would cause. I trick, a great charge in the manner of their workers. How me a more castions would their conduct be; how mesch sander their words; how much less hatred would their words express and excite; how much more, and how in rea better, would be their success.

This characteristic of the times seems to me more sad, and more alarming, because never yet was there so much need of the recognition of God, as at this day, among us. What else can have power to quell the raging storm and bid the heaving sea of passion be still, before it wrecks the best hopes of our country, and of our race.

I will not permit myself to pursue this topic. I will say only, for the few, if there be indeed any, who would follow out this train of thought in their own minds, that, in my judgment, constitutional Republicanism cannot enter upon its completion and consummation, until it becomes a Theocracy; and that it is not, in very fact and deed, advancing towards its completion, when it is not advancing towards this end. Let not those who are startled by this word suppose I mean a restoration of the old Jewish Theocracy. In the Theocracy I desire, the altar will not be built with hands, but will be in the heart; the offerings will be of acknowledgment, obedience, and reverence, and love. The House of God to which we shall go up, to worship our Father and listen to His answers, will be His Word, in which He dwells forever.

And what of the conflict, which I began with saying was in some way caused by slavery? How will it end, and when will it end?

I do not deny that there is much which would lead me to fear that vices and falsities prevail among us, and are so indurated by time and habit and our past prosperity, that we may need a long period of distress and discipline, and may now be only entering upon a cycle of suffering, which in its intensity and in its length will equal the

years of our prosperity.

But my hope is stronger than my fear. I think I see much among us that is good, and that is earnestly seeking to be better. Much that shows, that if we have abused our prosperity in part, we have also, in part, used it for our own good and for the world's good. And then I believe that we shall succeed. That Rebellion will be suppressed; that the value and force of our Constitution will be proved; that our loyalty will be enlightened and invigorated; and that by all these means, a firm foundation may be laid for a wider and loftier prosperity than we have yet known.







LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

0 011 899 259 3